UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,877	08/18/2006	Jordi Tormo I Blasco	5000-0191PUS1	2834	
2292 7590 03/16/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER		
			BROOKS, KRISTIE LATRICE		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Into minus Communicati	10/589,877	TORMO I BLASCO ET AL.					
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	KRISTIE L. BROOKS	1616					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Kristie L. Brooks</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Andrew Meikle</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	·]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A call was placed to Attorney Andrew Meikle on March 11, 2009. The Examiner was informed by the Attorney's secretary that no response has been filed in this case.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTEL A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTEL requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, '	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	_ICANT HIS	ΓIS			
	/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	 nit 1616					

Application No.

Applicant(s)